

Short Communication

The Geometric Convergence Rate of a Lindley Random Walk

Robert B. Lund
Department of Statistics
The University of Georgia
Athens, GA 30602-1952

November 30, 1995

Abstract

Let $\{X_n\}$ be the Lindley random walk on $[0, \infty)$ defined by $X_n = \max[X_{n-1} + A_n, 0]$ for $n \geq 1$ with $X_0 = x \geq 0$. Here, $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. When $E[A_1] < 0$ and $E[r^{A_1}] < \infty$ for some $r > 1$, $\{X_n\}$ converges at a geometric rate in total variation to an invariant distribution π ; that is, there exists $r > 1$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n \sup_B |P_x[X_n \in B] - \pi(B)| = 0$$

for every initial state $x \geq 0$. In this communication, we supply a short proof and some extensions of a result initially due to Veraverbeke and Teugels (1975 and 1976): the largest r satisfying the above relationship is $\phi(r_0)^{-1}$ where $\phi(r) = E[r^{A_1}]$ and $r_0 > 1$ satisfies $\phi'(r_0) = 1$.

MARKOV CHAIN; GEOMETRIC CONVERGENCE; TOTAL VARIATION; QUEUES.

AMS 1991 SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: PRIMARY 60K25

SECONDARY 60J25

1. **Introduction.** Consider the Lindley random walk $\{X_n\}$ driven by an independent and identically distributed (iid) random sequence $\{A_n\}$:

$$X_n = \max[X_{n-1} + A_n, 0] \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

with a possibly random initial state $X_0 \geq 0$. The recursion in (1.1) governs customer waiting times in queues [2,5] and arises in discrete storage modeling [5].

Equation (1.1) is also useful for simulating a probability distribution π on $[0, \infty)$ that satisfies the Wiener-Hopf equation

$$\pi([0, z]) = \int_{[0, \infty)} F(z - y)\pi(dy) \quad \text{for } z \geq 0,$$

where F is a known cumulative distribution function supported on $(-\infty, \infty)$ with a strictly negative mean. For this, one generates an iid $\{A_n\}$ with distribution function F , selects an initial state $X_0 = x$, and then recurses with (1.1) to generate $\{X_n\}$. The convergence rate results presented below can be used to find a natural number n where the distribution of X_n is sufficiently close to π .

It is well known that $\{X_n\}$ is a Markov chain that has a unique invariant probability distribution π if and only if $E[A_1] < 0$ [2,7] which we henceforth assume. To avoid degeneracy in $\{X_n\}$, we assume that A_1 is nondegenerate with $P(A_1 > 0) > 0$. Let $\phi(r) = E[r^{A_1}]$ and suppose that

- (i) There exists $r_0 > 1$ with $\phi(r_0) < \infty$ satisfying $\phi'(r_0) = 0$; and
- (ii) If A_1 is lattice, then $P(A_1 = 0) > 0$.

A classic result, proven in [8] and [9], states that when $X_0 = 0$ and (i) and (ii) hold, $P[X_n \leq z]$ converges geometrically fast to $\pi([0, z])$ uniformly in $z \geq 0$. The result also identifies the exact geometric convergence rate as $\phi(r_0)^{-1}$. We note that r_0 is unique and $\phi(r_0) < 1$; these properties follow from $E[A_1] < 0$, the convexity of ϕ , and (i). Our objective here is to give a short proof of this result based on sample path orderings; we also upgrade the converge mode to total variation and consider the case where $X_0 > 0$. Specifically, we show that when $E[A_1] < 0$ and (i) and (ii) hold,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n \sup_A |P_x[X_n \in A] - \pi(A)| = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for every $x \geq 0$ if and only if $r \leq \phi(r_0)^{-1}$. In (1.2), the notation P_x indicates that $X_0 \equiv x$. In

addition, we will examine geometric convergence of process moments; that is, for a function f , we investigate the values of $r > 1$ where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n |E_x[f(X_n)] - \pi(f)| = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

for all $x \geq 0$. In (1.3), $\pi(f)$ denotes the f th moment of π :

$$\pi(f) = \int_{[0, \infty)} f(x) \pi(dx).$$

By stationarity, $\pi(f) = E_\pi[f(X_n)]$ for all $n \geq 1$; in the above, E_x and E_π denote expectation when $X_0 = x$ and X_0 has distribution π respectively.

2. Results. From (1.1), if $\{X_n\}$ and $\{X'_n\}$ are trajectories of the chain driven by the same $\{A_n\}$ with $X_0 \geq X'_0$, then $X_n \geq X'_n$ for all $n \geq 1$; hence $\{X_n\}$ is pathwise ordered and the results of [6] apply. Let $\tau_0 = \inf\{n > 0: X_n = 0\}$ and $G_x(r) = E_x[r^{\tau_0}]$. From $P(A_1 > 0) > 0$ and the Markov property of $\{X_n\}$, it is easy to see that $G_x(r)$ has the same radius of convergence in r , say r^* , for each $x \geq 0$.

LEMMA 1. Let $\{X_n\}$ be the Lindley random walk in (1.1) with $E[A_1] < 0$ that satisfies (i) and (ii).

a) If r is such that $G_0(r) < \infty$, then (1.2) holds for all $x \geq 0$.

b) Equation (1.2) fails when $x = 0$ and $r > r^*$.

PROOF: Statement a) is Corollary 5.2 of [6]. To prove b), the argument in Theorem 6.2 of [6] must be modified as Equation (6.1) of [6] does not hold. Towards this, consider two copies of the chain, say $\{X_n\}$ and $\{X'_n\}$, driven by the same $\{A_n\}$, but with the different (possibly) initial conditions $X_0 = 0$ and $X'_0 = D$, where D is random with distribution π and is independent of $\{A_n\}$. Since $\{X'_n\}$ is stationary, $\pi(B) = P[X'_n \in B]$ for all $n \geq 1$ and all measurable B . Hence,

$$\sup_B |P_0[X_n \in B] - \pi(B)| \geq |P[X_n = 0] - P[X'_n = 0]| \quad (2.1)$$

Now define the coupling time $T = \inf\{n \geq 0: X_n = X'_n\}$. Since $X_n \leq X'_n$ for all n , $X_n = X'_n$ whenever $T \leq n$; hence, $P[X_n = 0 \cap T \leq n] = P[X'_n = 0 \cap T \leq n]$ and (2.1) is

$$\sup_B |P_0[X_n \in B] - \pi(B)| \geq |P[X_n = 0 \cap T > n] - P[X'_n = 0 \cap T > n]|. \quad (2.2)$$

Further, if $X'_n = 0$, then $X_n = 0$ and $T \leq n$; hence, the right hand side of (2.2) is $P[X_n = 0 \cap T > n]$.

Suppose that $\tau_0 = \inf\{k > 0: X_k = 0\} = n$ and $S_n = A_1 + \dots + A_n \in [-\Delta, 0]$ for some fixed $\Delta \geq 0$.

Then $X_n = 0$; furthermore, if $D > \Delta$, then $S'_n = D + A_1 + \dots + A_n > 0$, $\tau'_0 = \inf\{k > 0: X'_k = 0\} > n$, and $T > n$. Thus, (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_B |P_0[X_n \in B] - \pi(B)| &\geq P[\tau_0 = n \cap S_n \geq -\Delta \cap D > \Delta] \\ &= \pi((\Delta, \infty))P[S_n \geq -\Delta \mid \tau_0 = n]P[\tau_0 = n], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where the last line in (2.3) follows from the independence of D and $\{A_n\}$.

When (i) and (ii) hold, [1] shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[S_n \geq -\Delta \mid \tau_0 = n] = G(\Delta)$ for all $\Delta \geq 0$ where G is a proper cumulative distribution function; hence, there is a $\Delta < \infty$ such that $G(\Delta) > 0$. Since $P(A_1 > 0)$, the support set of π is $[0, \infty)$ and $\pi((\Delta, \infty)) > 0$ for all $\Delta > 0$. Selecting such a $\Delta > 0$, multiplying (2.3) by r^n , and taking a limit supremum gives

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n \sup_B |P_0[X_n \in B] - \pi(B)| \geq \pi((\Delta, \infty))G(\Delta) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n P[\tau_0 = n] = \infty$$

when $r > r^*$; this proves b). We remark that the subscripts on P have been suppressed; this causes no confusion as the probability space is that which supports both $\{A_n\}$ and D . \square

When $E[A_1] < 0$, $\pi(\{0\}) > 0$; hence, Lemma 1 establishes “divergence” for $r > r^*$ on a set of positive measure with respect to π .

COROLLARY 2. Let $\{X_n\}$ be the Lindley random walk in (1.1) with $E[A_1] < 0$ that satisfies (i) and (ii). Then (1.2) holds for all $x \geq 0$ when $r \leq \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ and fails for $x = 0$ when $r > \phi(r_0)^{-1}$.

PROOF. Equation I 6.78 in [5] identifies the form of $G_0(r)$ as

$$G_0(r) = 1 + (r - 1) \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k} P(S_k > 0) \right], \quad (2.4)$$

where $S_k = A_1 + \dots + A_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Hence, $G_0(r) < \infty$ if and only if $H(r) = \sum_k k^{-1} r^k P(S_k > 0) < \infty$. Theorem 1 of [4] identifies the radius of convergence of $H(r)$ as $\phi(r_0)^{-1}$.

To see that $H(r) < \infty$ when $r = \phi(r_0)^{-1}$, we apply the asymptotic expansion $P(S_k > 0) \sim M[\phi(r_0)]^k k^{-1/2}$ of [3] (M here is a finite constant). An appeal to Lemma 1 completes the proof. \square

REMARK: The deviations bound $P(S_k > 0) \leq \phi(\alpha)^k$ for $\alpha \geq 1$ shows that $G_0(r) < \infty$ for $r < \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ when used in (2.4). By Corollary 5.2 of [6], (1.2) holds for all $x \geq 0$ and $r < \phi(r_0)^{-1}$; hence, (ii) can be relaxed. The proof of Part b) of Lemma 1 shows that (1.2) fails at $x = 0$ for all $r > 1$ when $\phi(r) = \infty$

for all $r > 1$; hence, one must have $\phi(r) < \infty$ for some $r > 1$ to achieve geometric convergence. In cases where ϕ does not achieve its minimal value, one can argue as above and show that (1.2) holds for all $x \geq 0$ and $r < \inf\{\phi(r): r > 1\}^{-1}$; hence, (ii) can also be relaxed. In general, it is not clear whether (1.2) holds for $r = \inf\{\phi(r): r > 1\}^{-1}$ when (i) and/or (ii) do not hold. \square

REMARK: For simulation purposes, one can take $x = 0$; however, a bound for the first constant multiplying the geometric decay rate in (1.2) is also needed to identify an n where the distribution of X_n is sufficiently close to π in a total variational sense. Following the arguments in [6], we obtain

$$\sup_B |P_0[X_n \in B] - \pi(B)| \leq C(r)r^{-n},$$

where $C(r) \leq G_\pi(r) \leq [G_0(r) - 1]/[r - 1]$. Combining this with (2.4) gives $C(r) \leq \exp(H(r))$. The bound $P(S_k > 0) \leq \phi(r_0)^k$ (assuming (ii) holds) and the identity $\sum_1^\infty k^{-1}x^k = -\ln(1-x)$ for $0 \leq x < 1$ provide $C(r) \leq [1 - r\phi(r_0)]^{-1}$ for $r < \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ as required. \square

Now let $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a general function. The following result establishes when the moment convergence in (1.3) takes place.

THEOREM 3. Let $\{X_n\}$ be the Lindley random walk in (1.1) with $E[A_1] < 0$ that satisfies (i) and (ii).

- a) If $r < \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ and $f(x) \leq Mr_0^x$ for all $x \geq 0$ and some $M < \infty$, then (1.3) holds for all $x \geq 0$.
- b) If f is nondecreasing and $f(x + \Delta) - f(x) \geq M > 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and some $M > 0$ and $\Delta > 0$, then (1.3) fails when $r > \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ and $x = 0$.

PROOF. To prove a), Theorems 3.1 and 5.1 of [6] show that it is sufficient to establish $E_x[r^{\tau_0}] \leq \kappa r_0^x$ for $r < \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ and some $\kappa < \infty$ (κ may depend on r). For this, we use

$$E_x[r^{\tau_0}] = 1 + (r-1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n P_x[\tau_0 > n],$$

the bound $P_x[\tau_0 > n] \leq P[x + A_1 + \dots + A_n > 0] \leq r_0^x \phi(r_0)^n$, and $r_0^x \geq 1$ to obtain $E_x[r^{\tau_0}] \leq \kappa r_0^x$ for $r < \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ where $\kappa = r[1 - r\phi(r_0)]^{-1} < \infty$.

For b), we use the notation and arguments in the proof of Lemma 1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} |E[f(X_n)] - \pi(f)| &= \left| E[f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{[T > n]}] - E[f(X'_n) \mathbb{1}_{[T > n]}] \right| \\ &= E[f(X'_n) \mathbb{1}_{[T > n]}] - E[f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{[T > n]}], \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where the last line in (2.5) follows from $f(X'_n) \geq f(X_n)$ for all n (by the nondecreasing f). Making the decomposition $\{T > n\} = \{T > n \cap \tau_0 > n\} \cup \{T > n \cap \tau_0 \leq n\}$ in (2.5) and using

$$E\left[[f(X'_n) - f(X_n)]\mathbb{1}_{\{T > n\} \cap \{\tau_0 \leq n\}}\right] \geq 0$$

gives

$$|E[f(X_n)] - \pi(f)| \geq E\left[[f(X'_n) - f(X_n)]\mathbb{1}_{\{T > n\} \cap \{\tau_0 > n\}}\right]. \quad (2.6)$$

Now if $\tau_0 > n$, then $X'_k = X_k + D$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and the event $T > n$ has also occurred. Hence, $\{T > n\} \cap \{\tau_0 > n\} = \{\tau_0 > n\}$ and (2.6) and the assumed properties of f provide

$$|E[f(X_n)] - \pi(f)| \geq E\left[[f(X_k + D) - f(X_k)]\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 > n\}}\right] \geq M\pi((\Delta, \infty))P[\tau_0 > n]. \quad (2.7)$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.7) by r^n , taking a limit supremum, and using the fact that the radius of convergence of $G_0(r)$ is $\phi(r_0)^{-1}$ finishes the proof of *b*). \square

It is clear that the assumptions on f in Part *b*) of Theorem 3 could be weakened with a more detailed analysis. However, we note that typical ‘‘moment’’ functions, such as the power class $f(x) = x^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 1$, and exponential class $f(x) = \exp(\beta x)$, $\beta > 0$, satisfy these assumptions.

3. Examples.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Suppose that $A_1 = P - 1$ where P has a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda < 1$. Then (i) and (ii) hold, $\phi(r) = \exp\{-\lambda(1-r) - \ln(r)\} < \infty$ for all $r \geq 1$, $r_0 = \lambda^{-1}$, and (1.2) holds for all $x \geq 0$ if and only if $r \leq \phi(r_0)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}e^{\lambda-1}$. Theorem 3 shows that, for example, (1.3) holds for $f(y) = y^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 1$, if $r < \lambda^{-1}e^{\lambda-1}$ and fails when $x = 0$ and $r > \lambda^{-1}e^{\lambda-1}$.

Now suppose that $A_1 = E - 1$ where E has the exponential density $\mu e^{-\mu y}$ for $y \geq 0$ with $\mu > 1$. Then (i) and (ii) hold, $\phi(r) = \mu[r(\mu - \ln(r))]^{-1}$ for $1 \leq r < e^\mu$, $r_0 = e^{\mu-1}$, and (1.2) holds for all $x \geq 0$ if and only if $r \leq \phi(r_0)^{-1} = \mu^{-1}e^{\mu-1}$. Again we have that, essentially, $E_x[X_n^\alpha]$ converges geometrically to its limit for all $\alpha \geq 1$ and $x \geq 0$ with best geometric rate $\mu^{-1}e^{\mu-1}$. \square

EXAMPLE 3.2. Consider a GI/GI/1 queue where S_n is the service time of the n th customer and I_n is the interarrival time between the n th and $(n+1)$ st customers; here, $\{S_n\}$ and $\{I_n\}$ are independent iid series of nonnegative random variables. The first customer arrives at time 0 and encounters a server

with workload $x \geq 0$ before his/her service begins.

Let Q_n be the time the n th customer spends waiting for his/her service to commence (the virtual waiting time). Then $\{Q_n\}$ satisfies $Q_n = \max(Q_{n-1} + S_{n-1} - I_n, 0)$ for $n \geq 1$ with $Q_0 = x$ [2,5,7]; hence, (1.1) holds with $A_n = S_{n-1} - I_n$. If $E[S_0] < E[I_1]$ and (i) and (ii) hold with $\phi(r) = E[r^{S_0 - I_1}]$, then $\{Q_n\}$ has a limiting distribution π and the best geometric convergence rate is $\phi(r_0)^{-1}$ where $\phi'(r_0) = 0$; in general, r_0 must be obtained case by case. By Theorem 3, all moments $E_x[Q_n^\alpha]$, $\alpha \geq 1$, converge geometrically to their limits with "best" geometric rate $\phi(r_0)^{-1}$.

Convergence rates for other quantities in the queue can also be obtained from the virtual waiting time rates. For example, the total time the n th customer spends in the queue, denoted W_n , is $W_n = Q_n + S_n$. Let $\{Q_n\}$ and $\{Q'_n\}$ be trajectories of the virtual waiting time chain driven by the same $\{I_n\}$ and $\{S_n\}$ with $Q_0 = x$ and Q'_0 having the stationary virtual waiting time distribution. Then $T_Q = \inf\{n \geq 0: Q_n = Q'_n\} = T_W = \inf\{n \geq 0: W_n = W'_n\}$ and the coupling times for the virtual and total waiting times are identical (here, $\{W'_n\}$ is a stationary total waiting time chain constructed from $\{Q'_n\}$ in the obvious manner). Hence, (1.2) also holds for $r \leq \phi(r_0)^{-1}$ for $\{W_n\}$. \square

References

- [1] Afanas'ev, V. I. (1979) A conditional random walk with negative drift, *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, **24**, 192-197.
- [2] Asmussen, S. (1987) *Applied Probability and Queues*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [3] Bahadur, R. R. and Rao, R. R. (1960) On deviations of the sample mean, *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **31**, 1015-1027.
- [4] Chernoff, H. (1952) A measure of asymptotic efficiency for test of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations, *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **23**, 493-507.
- [5] Cohen, J. W. (1982) *The Single Server Queue, Revised Edition*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [6] Lund, R. B. and Tweedie, R. L. (1995) Geometric convergence rates for stochastically ordered Markov chains, To appear, *Mathematics of Operations Research*.
- [7] Prabhu, N. U. (1980) *Stochastic Storage Models*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [8] Veraverbeke, N. and Teugels, J. L. (1975) The exponential rate of convergence of the distribution of the maximum of a random walk, *Journal of Applied Probability*, **12**, 279-288.
- [9] Veraverbeke, N. and Teugels, J. L. (1976) The exponential rate of convergence of the distribution of the maximum of a random walk. Part II, *Journal of Applied Probability*, **13**, 733-740.