

10.2.2 Let X_1 = number of round and yellow phenotypes, X_2 = number of round and green phenotypes, and so on. Then $P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 1, X_4 = 1) =$

$$\frac{4!}{1!1!1!1!} \left(\frac{9}{16}\right)^1 \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^1 \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^1 \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^1 = 0.0297.$$

10.2.4 Let Y denote a recruit's IQ and let X_i denote the number of recruits in class i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then

$$p_1 = P(\text{class I}) = P(Y < 90) = P\left(Z < \frac{90-100}{16}\right) = 0.2643, p_2 = P(\text{class II}) = P(90 \leq Y \leq 110) =$$

$$P\left(\frac{90-100}{16} \leq Z \leq \frac{110-100}{16}\right) = 0.4714, \text{ and } p_3 = P(\text{class III}) = P(Y > 110) = 1 - p_1 - p_2 =$$

$$0.2643. \text{ From Theorem 10.2.1, } P(X_1 = 2, X_2 = 4, X_3 = 1) = \frac{7!}{2!4!1!} (0.2643)^2 (0.4714)^4 (0.2643)^1 = 0.0957.$$

$$\mathbf{10.2.8} \quad M_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sum \sum \sum e^{t_1 k_1 + t_2 k_2 + t_3 k_3} \cdot \frac{n!}{k_1! k_2! k_3!} \cdot p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} p_3^{k_3} =$$

$$\sum \sum \sum \frac{n!}{k_1! k_2! k_3!} (p_1 e^{t_1})^{k_1} (p_2 e^{t_2})^{k_2} (p_3 e^{t_3})^{k_3}, \text{ where the summation extends over all the}$$

values of (k_1, k_2, k_3) such that $k_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = n$. Recall Newton's binomial expansion. Applied here, it follows that the triple sum defining the moment-generating function for (X_1, X_2, X_3) can also be written $(p_1 e^{t_1} + p_2 e^{t_2} + p_3 e^{t_3})^n$.

10.2.10 The log of the likelihood vector (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t) is $\log L = \log p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_t^{k_t} = k_1 \log p_1 +$

$k_2 \log p_2 + \dots + k_t \log p_t$, where the p_i 's are constrained by the condition that $\sum_{i=1}^t p_i = 1$.

Finding the MLE for the p_i 's can be accomplished using Lagrange multipliers.

Differentiating $\log L - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t p_i$ with respect to each p_i gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left[\log L - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t p_i \right] = \frac{k_i}{p_i} - \lambda$,

$i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. But these derivatives equal 0 only if $\frac{k_i}{p_i} = \lambda$ for all i . The latter equations,

together with the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^t p_i = 1$, imply that $\hat{p}_i = \frac{k_i}{n}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

10.3.2 If the hypergeometric model applies, $\pi_1 = P(0 \text{ whites are drawn}) = \frac{\binom{4}{0}\binom{6}{2}}{\binom{10}{2}} = \frac{15}{45}$, $\pi_2 =$

$P(1 \text{ white is drawn}) = \frac{\binom{4}{1}\binom{6}{1}}{\binom{10}{2}} = \frac{24}{45}$, and $\pi_3 = P(2 \text{ whites are drawn}) =$

$\frac{\binom{4}{2}\binom{6}{0}}{\binom{10}{2}} = \frac{6}{45}$. Let p_1, p_2 , and p_3 denote the actual probabilities of drawing 0, 1, and 2

white chips, respectively. To test $H_0: p_1 = \frac{15}{45}, p_2 = \frac{24}{45}, p_3 = \frac{6}{45}$ versus $H_1: \text{at least one } p_i \neq \pi_i$, reject H_0 if $d \geq \chi_{1-\alpha, k-1}^2 = \chi_{.90, 2}^2 = 4.605$.

Here, $d = \frac{(35 - 100(15/45))^2}{100(15/45)} + \frac{(55 - 100(24/45))^2}{100(24/45)} + \frac{(10 - 100(6/45))^2}{100(6/45)} = 0.96$, so

H_0 (and the hypergeometric model) would not be rejected.

10.3.4 If births occur randomly in time, then $\pi_1 = P(\text{baby is born between midnight and 4 A.M.}) = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\pi_2 = P(\text{baby is born at a "convenient" time}) = 1 - \pi_1 = \frac{5}{6}$. Let p_1 and p_2 denote the

actual probabilities of birth during those two time periods. The null hypothesis to be tested is $H_0: p_1 = \frac{1}{6}, p_2 = \frac{5}{6}$. At the $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance, H_0 should be rejected if $d \geq \chi_{.95, 1}^2$

$= 3.841$. Given that $n = 2650$ and that $X_1 = \text{number of births between midnight and 4 A.M.} = 494$, it follows that $d = \frac{(494 - 2650(1/6))^2}{2650(1/6)} + \frac{(2156 - 2650(5/6))^2}{2650(5/6)} = 7.44$. Since the latter

exceeds 3.841, we reject the hypothesis that births occur uniformly in all time periods.

10.3.6 In the terminology of Theorem 10.3.1, $X_1 = 1383 = \text{number of schizophrenics born in first quarter}$ and $X_2 = \text{number of schizophrenics born after the first quarter}$. By assumption, $n\pi_1 = 1292.1$ and $n\pi_2 = 3846.9$ (where $n = 5139$). The null hypothesis that birth month is unrelated

to schizophrenia is rejected if $d \geq \chi_{.95, 1}^2 = 3.841$. But $d = \frac{(1383 - 1292.1)^2}{1292.1} +$

$\frac{(3756 - 3846.9)^2}{3846.9} = 8.54$, so H_0 is rejected, suggesting that month of birth may, indeed, be a

factor in the incidence of schizophrenia.

10.3.10 Let $p_i = P(\text{horse starting in post position } i \text{ wins})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$. One relevant null hypothesis to test would be that p_i is not a function of i —that is, $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_8 = \frac{1}{8}$ versus $H_1: \text{at}$

least one $p_i \neq \frac{1}{8}$. If $\alpha = 0.05$, H_0 should be rejected if $d \geq \chi_{.95, 7}^2 = 14.067$. Each $E(X_i)$ in this

case is $144 \cdot \frac{1}{8} = 18.0$, so $d = \frac{(32 - 18.0)^2}{18.0} + \frac{(21 - 18.0)^2}{18.0} + \dots + \frac{(11 - 18.0)^2}{18.0} = 18.72$. Since

$18.72 \geq \chi_{.95, 7}^2$, we reject H_0 (which is not surprising because faster horses are typically awarded starting positions close to the rail).

10.3.12 Let the random variable Y denote the prison time served by someone convicted of grand theft auto. In the accompanying table is the frequency distribution for a sample of 50 y_i 's, together with expected frequencies based on the null hypothesis that $f_i(y) = \frac{1}{9}y^2$, $0 \leq y \leq 3$. For

example, $E(X_1) = 50 \cdot \pi_1 = 50 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{9}y^2 dy = 1.85$. Combining the first two intervals (because

$E(X_1) < 5$) yields $k = 2$ final classes, so $H_0: f_i(y) = \frac{1}{9}y^2$, $0 \leq y \leq 3$ should be rejected if $d \geq$

$\chi_{.95,1}^2 = 3.841$. But $d = \frac{(24-14.81)^2}{14.81} + \frac{(26-35.19)^2}{35.19} = 8.10$, implying that the proposed

quadratic pdf does not provide a good model for describing prison time.

<u>Prison time, y</u>	<u>Freq.</u>	<u>π_i</u>	<u>$E(X_i)$</u>
$0 \leq y < 1$	8	1/27	1.85
$1 \leq y < 2$	16	7/27	12.96
$2 \leq y < 3$	26	19/27	35.19
	50	1	50.00

} 14.81

10.4.2 For the Poisson pdf, $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{59(0) + 27(1) + 9(2) + 1(3)}{96} = 0.50$ so the hypotheses being tested are

$H_0: P(i \text{ vacancies}) = e^{-0.50}(0.50)^i/i!$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ vs. $H_1: P(i \text{ vacancies}) \neq e^{-0.50}(0.50)^i/i!$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. As the table indicates, the original frequency distribution needs to have several classes combined because the expected frequencies are too small.

<u>No. of vacancies, i</u>	<u>No. of years</u>	<u>\hat{p}_i</u>	<u>$96 \cdot \hat{p}_i$</u>
0	59	0.607	58.27
1	27	0.303	29.09
2	9	0.076	7.30
3	1	0.013	1.25
4+	0	0.001	0.10
	96	1.000	96.00

} 8.65

10.4.4 Let $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{109(0) + 65(1) + 22(2) + 3(3) + 4(4)}{200} = 0.61$. Then the model to be fit under H_0 is the

Poisson pdf, $p_X(i) = e^{-0.61}(0.61)^i/i!$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Using $t = 4$ final classes (the combined

"4.8" is close enough to 5 for the χ^2 approximation to be adequate), we should reject H_0 if

$d_1 \geq \chi_{.99,4-1}^2 = 9.210$. In the table, the observed and expected frequencies are in excellent agreement, so d_1 will be very small (and the Poisson model will not be rejected).

Specifically, $d_1 = \frac{(109-108.7)^2}{108.7} + \frac{(65-66.3)^2}{66.3} + \frac{(22-20.2)^2}{20.2} + \frac{(4-4.8)^2}{4.8} = 0.32$.

<u>No. of Deaths, i</u>	<u>Freq.</u>	<u>\hat{p}_i</u>	<u>$200 \cdot \hat{p}_i$</u>
0	109	0.5434	108.7
1	65	0.3314	66.3
2	22	0.1011	20.2
3	3	0.0206	4.1
4+	1	0.0035	0.7
	200	1.0000	200.0

} 4.8

10.3.9 Let the random variable X denote the length of a World Series. Then $P(X=4) = \pi_1 = P(\text{AL wins in 4}) + P(\text{NL wins in 4}) = 2 \cdot P(\text{AL wins in 4}) = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 = \frac{1}{8}$. Similarly, $P(X=5) = \pi_2 = 2 \cdot P(\text{AL wins in 5}) = 2 \cdot P(\text{AL wins exactly 3 of first 4 games}) \cdot P(\text{AL wins 5th game}) = 2 \cdot \binom{4}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$. Also, $P(X=6) = \pi_3 = 2 \cdot P(\text{AL wins exactly 3 of first 5 games}) \cdot P(\text{AL wins 6th game}) = 2 \cdot \binom{5}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{5}{16}$, and $P(X=7) = \pi_4 = 1 - P(X=4) - P(X=5) - P(X=6) = \frac{5}{16}$. Listed in the table is the information necessary for calculating the goodness-of-fit statistic d . The "Bernoulli model" is rejected if $d \geq \chi_{.90,3}^2 = 6.251$. For these data, $d = \frac{(9-6.25)^2}{6.25} + \frac{(11-12.50)^2}{12.50} + \frac{(8-15.625)^2}{15.625} + \frac{(22-15.625)^2}{15.625} = 7.71$, so H_0 is rejected.

<u>Number of games</u>	<u>Number of years</u>	<u>$\frac{50 \cdot \pi_i}{}$</u>
4	9	6.25
5	11	12.50
6	8	15.625
7	<u>22</u>	<u>15.625</u>
	50	50.000