

ST 430: Homework #3 Solutions

5.6.2 (a) $F_Y(y) = 1 - e^{-(y-\theta)}$, $\theta \leq y$, so
 $f_{Y_{\min}}(y) = nf_Y(y)[1 - F_Y(y)]^{n-1} = ne^{-(y-\theta)}[e^{-(y-\theta)}]^{n-1} = ne^{-n(y-\theta)}$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(y_i-\theta)} = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{n\theta} = ne^{-n(y_{\min}-\theta)} \left[\frac{1}{n} e^{ny_{\min}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right]. \text{ Thus, } Y_{\min} \text{ is sufficient by}$$

Theorem 5.6.1.

- (b) We need to show that the likelihood function given y_{\max} is independent of θ .
 But the likelihood function is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(y_i-\theta)} = \begin{cases} e^\theta e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} & \text{if } \theta \leq y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Regardless of the value of y_{\max} , the expression for the likelihood does depend on $\tilde{\theta}$. If any one of the y_i , other than y_{\max} , is less than θ , the expression is 0. Otherwise it is non-zero.

5.6.5 $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y_i^2}{\sigma^2}} = \left[(\sigma^2)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right)} \right] [2\pi^{-n/2}]$, so $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2$ is

sufficient by Theorem 5.6.1.

5.6.6 $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(r-1)! \theta^r} y_i^{r-1} e^{-y_i/\theta} = \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^n} \frac{1}{\theta^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{r-1} = \left[\frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right] \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{r-1}$

so $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . So also is $\frac{1}{r} \bar{Y}$. (See Question 5.6.4)

5.6.9 $\lambda e^{-\lambda y} = e^{\ln \lambda - \lambda y} = e^{y(-\lambda) + \ln \lambda}$. Take $K(y) = y$, $p(\lambda) = -\lambda$, $S(y) = 0$, and $q(\lambda) = \ln \lambda$.

Then $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is sufficient.

5.6.10 $\theta(1+y)^{\theta+1} = e^{\ln \theta - (\theta+1) \ln(1+y)} = e^{[\ln(1+y)](-\theta-1) + \ln \theta}$. Take $K(y) = \ln(1+y)$, $p(\theta) = -\theta-1$, and

$q(\theta) = \ln \theta$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n K(Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+Y_i)$ is sufficient for θ .